DIFFERENCES BETWEEN 3 AND 5 MEMBER ADJUDICATOR PANELS

3 ADJUDICATOR PANEL	5 ADJUDICATOR PANEL
All three adjudicator's critique the item as usual	All five adjudicator's critique the item as usual
Points are awarded and recorded on critique	Points are awarded and recorded on critique
Rankings from 1 – 7 are placed on critique papers	Rankings from 1 – 7 are placed on critique papers
Ranking 1 = 14; 2=8; 3=6; 4=4; 5=3; 6=2;7=1	Ranking 1 = 14; 2=8; 3=6; 4=4; 5=3; 6=2;7=1
The total ranking allocation gives the point score that is announced with the results	The total ranking allocation gives the point score that is announced with the results
Assessing: for all three adjudicators, total mark of each adjudicator is entered into a prepared data base by a group of 3 assessors. This data base then sorts each adjudicators score to rankings from 1 – 7.	Assessing: the Ranking order only of the 5 adjudicators are entered into a spreadsheet by a group of 3 Assessors. Adjudicators themselves are responsible for the Ranking position placed on the critique. This is the only information used by the assessors
The data base then tallies the total of the ranking scores and sorts results into the places.	Once data is entered, the highest and lowest ranking of each team is deleted with the remaining 3 rankings then added as per the usual 3 adjudicator system
All this is programed into the assessing data base and requires only the input of the total points	At the moment there is not a program written specifically to cope with all the procedures of the 5 adjudicator panel. Results are entered into an excel spread sheet. If it is determined that the 5 adjudicator system will proceed, CVI will ensure that a fully automated program will be developed.